

Disclosure: Always Imminent, Always Transformative, Always Just Around the Corner

Six UFO Prophecies, Six Years Later

In the autumn of 2019, long before congressional hearings and government acronyms turned UFOs into a recurring Washington story, skeptic Mick West sat down with the online commentator known as UFO Jesus, Ryan Robbins, for an episode of *Tales From the Rabbit Hole*. The conversation ranged across the Nimitz "Tic-Tac," Navy videos, witness testimony and the familiar argument over whether unexplained means merely unexplained - or something much more extraordinary.

Near the end, the discussion became unusually useful. Robbins stopped talking only about possibilities and began making predictions. First came a reminder of an existing five-year wager with West. Then came what Robbins himself called a "six-part prophecy." West was skeptical, but he also recognized the value of a deadline. Six years, he noted, was short enough that they would actually find out.

That deadline has now passed. The point of returning to the exchange is not to score points against one UFO personality. It is to do something that the modern "disclosure" conversation rarely does: go back to a confident prediction after its sell-by date and ask what, exactly, happened.

How the predictions are scored

The standard used here is intentionally simple. A 10/10 means the event happened substantially as predicted and within the timeframe given. A 5/10 means events moved noticeably in the predicted direction, but important conditions were missing or the prediction was so broad that almost any continuation of the UFO story could be claimed as success. A 0/10 means the identifiable event did not happen. Most importantly, related developments do not count as substitutes. If the prediction says an old, hidden and better-funded UFO program will be revealed, the later creation of a new government UAP office is not the same thing.

This matters because prophecy becomes meaningless if its terms are rewritten after the fact. A prediction should be judged by the claim made before the outcome was known, not by the most charitable interpretation available years later.

The Bet

Alongside the six predictions, Robbins and West discussed a separate five-year bet already under way. The podcast does not establish how the wager originally came into fruition, and no monetary value is assigned to it there. The condition, as discussed, was that within five years a head of state from a major country would publicly acknowledge UFOs as something genuinely anomalous - more than merely confirming that a video or unidentified sighting was real.

Robbins said roughly three years remained on the clock; West said he had put the date in his Google Calendar. A May 1, 2020 tweet from Robbins later referred to a "\$50 bet with Mick West (that he initiated)" and said the precise agreement was recorded on Twitter. That may be the same wager, but without the original Twitter exchange it cannot be established with certainty. Nor has a later public settlement establishing the outcome been located.

There was also a later, clearly documented \$20 bet concerning what the government's 180-day UAP report would say. Its subject was similar, but its terms and deadline were different; it should not be

conflated with the five-year wager and is not assessed here. Its outcome, however, appears broadly similar to the other bets and predictions examined here: the anticipated disclosure did not materialize in the form predicted.

Six in Six

Immediately afterward Robbins announced: "My name is UFO Jesus and I have a six-part prophecy." What followed is a compact snapshot of the disclosure story as believers understood it in 2019: revelation was close, the government story was about to widen, evidence would point away from Earth, the media would follow, skeptics would be judged harshly in hindsight, and science would eventually reap the rewards.

1. Disclosure within six years - approx. 58:55

"Number one, we will have disclosure within six years."

This is the easiest prediction to understand and therefore the easiest to judge. The six-year window expired in 2025. In the context of the conversation, "disclosure" did not mean that governments would admit pilots sometimes see things they cannot immediately identify. It meant the much larger revelation at the heart of the disclosure movement: compelling evidence that at least some UFOs represent extraordinary, effectively non-human technology. That did not happen. There were hearings, reports, whistleblower allegations and unresolved cases, but not the promised revelation.

RANKING: 0/10

2. Hidden, better-funded programs - approx. 59:00

"Within the next couple years new UFO programs will come to light ... AATIP was not the first ... or ... only UFO program ... and ... these new UFO program or programs were much more well funded than AATIP."

This prediction is more specific than the common claim that government interest in UFOs would continue. It anticipated the revelation of previously unknown programs - earlier than, or separate from, AATIP - and added a second condition: those programs would prove much better funded. Later UAP offices do not satisfy that claim because they were created after the prediction. Nor does simply renaming or re-describing the intertwined AAWSAP/AATIP history establish the hidden, substantially better-funded predecessor Robbins predicted. No clear public revelation has met the full test.

RANKING: 0/10

3. Evidence that some UFOs are "not from here" - approx. 59:17

"More evidence will be released that increases the odds that some UFOs are not from here."

There is a reason to give this more than zero. The years after 2019 produced far more official reporting, testimony and public argument about UAP than existed before. But the wording also makes the prediction slippery. "Increases the odds" gives no threshold, and an unexplained case is not automatically evidence of an extraterrestrial one. The public record became larger and stranger in places, but the decisive evidence implied by "not from here" never emerged. This was movement in the direction of the prediction, not confirmation of it.

RANKING: 2/10

4. UFOs move further into the mainstream - approx. 59:25

"There will be an escalation in the media ... UFOs meandering into the mainstream. So this is not going to fizzle out ... That's only the beginning."

Of the six, this is the strongest hit. UFOs - rebranded in official language as UAP - did not disappear. They became the subject of congressional hearings, Pentagon offices, government reports and

sustained national coverage. But West's objection at the time remains important: this is also the least demanding prophecy. How much coverage counts as "escalation"? How long must interest continue before it has not "fizzled out"? With no measurable threshold, the prediction is difficult to fail. It deserves credit for direction, but not the status of a precise forecast.

RANKING: 5/10

5. Many books condemning skeptics and scientists - approx. 59:45

"Many books will be written about how skeptics and scientists approach the UFO issue had a lot to be desired."

It is tempting to rescue this prediction by pointing out that many UFO books have appeared since 2019. But that changes the claim. Robbins did not merely predict more UFO books; he predicted many books specifically about how badly skeptics and scientists had handled the subject. That distinct wave of literature is difficult to identify. There are books and passages critical of scientific skepticism, but that is not the same as the predicted body of work. On the terms stated, the prophecy has not materialised.

RANKING: 0/10

6. Disclosure transforms science - approx. 59:53

"Disclosure will lead to many scientific breakthroughs that will significantly help humankind."

This was the grand finale: disclosure would not simply settle an argument about UFOs; it would unlock a technological and scientific dividend for humanity. It is also the easiest prediction to reject today. The disclosure described in prediction one did not occur, and no resulting wave of publicly demonstrated breakthroughs followed. The promised chain - revelation, new science, transformative benefit - remains a promise.

RANKING: 0/10

The disclosure habit

The six predictions are revealing not because they tell us much about UFOs, but because they expose a recurring problem in parts of the UFO-believer community: the endlessly postponed promise of disclosure. In 2019, Robbins was not merely saying that something interesting might happen. He supplied deadlines and concrete expectations. Disclosure would come. Hidden programs would surface. Evidence would point beyond Earth. Science would eventually be transformed. Six years later, the most dramatic parts of that future have not arrived.

Yet the disclosure narrative survives remarkably well. That is because it can behave like a kind of narrative virus inside UFO and conspiracy communities, turning almost any development into evidence for itself. A congressional hearing means disclosure is coming. A whistleblower means disclosure is coming. A new government office means disclosure is coming. Government silence can be taken as proof of concealment, while government attention can be taken as proof that the concealment is finally breaking down. The story is protected from failure because contradictory events can be folded into the same expectation.

There is an uncomfortable resemblance here to the dynamics of failed prophecy and cult-like belief systems. That does not mean everyone interested in UFOs belongs to a cult, nor that every extraordinary claim is automatically false. The resemblance is in the mechanism: revelation is imminent; believers have understood what outsiders have missed; skeptics will soon be embarrassed; and a transformative event will vindicate those who kept the faith. Most importantly, when a deadline passes, the failed prediction does not necessarily weaken the belief. The deadline simply recedes from view while a new insider, hearing, document or revelation takes its place.

That is why old predictions matter. A community genuinely interested in truth should keep a record not only of apparent hits but of misses, expired deadlines and confident claims that went nowhere. The six formal prophecies here score 7 points out of 60 - a normalized 1.2/10. The one substantial directional success, the continued mainstreaming of the UFO story, was also the vaguest and safest prediction. The specific, transformative claims failed.

A movement that remembers every apparent confirmation while forgetting its failed forecasts is not steadily accumulating evidence; it is manufacturing confirmation. Until yesterday's promises are audited with the same enthusiasm as tomorrow's revelations are promoted, "disclosure" will remain less a testable prediction than an article of faith: always imminent, always transformative, and always just around the corner.

Source: [Tales From the Rabbit Hole, Episode 26: UFO Jesus - Disclosure, the Nimitz Tic-Tac, and UFO Evidence](#). Quoted timestamps are approximate because the supplied transcript contains no embedded timecodes; they are reconstructed from the closing sequence around the one-hour mark.